

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

FRIDAY, THE 19TH JANUARY 2007 / 29TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C) .No. 2258 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

V.VARGHESE, S/O.CHACKO VARGHESE,
THUNDIYIL HOUSE, VENKARINJI P.O., KOLLAMADA VILLAGE
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.S.MUHAMMED HANEEFF

RESPONDENTS:

1. DY. TAHSILDAR (R.R.), RANNI.
2. VILLEGE OFFICER,
KOLLAMADA VILLAGE, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.
3. SHAKEER, S/O. SAIDUMUHAMMED,
THAIPPARAMBIL VEETIL, KANJIRAPPALLY P.O.,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI M.A.FAYAZ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN, J.

W.P. (C) .NO.2258 OF 2007

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

Admittedly revenue recovery proceedings were initiated against the petitioner based on an award passed by the M.A.C.T. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the award, the remedy available is to file an appeal and obtain a stay and this writ petition cannot be filed merely for the reason that he has not obtained a certified copy or that it will take some more time for filing the appeal. It is open for the petitioner as provided under the statute to file an appeal. In the absence of any challenge as of now, the revenue recovery proceedings initiated cannot be faulted. No

W.P.(C).NO.2258/2007

grounds are made out.

Writ Petition is dismissed.

P . R . RAMAN ,
Judge .

kcv.